



# "Countering the Threat: Regulating Illicit Nuclear Arms Trade for Global Stability"

Presented by Harine Raaj

8th Annual SYP Conference

Masters Student in International Relations at University of Manchester.

# Introduction

- Nuclear weapons are a major threat to global stability, with illicit arms trading and trafficking continuing despite international efforts to curb (Klare, 2023).
- Treaties exist with the aim to regulate nuclear weapons but have not fully prevented the illicit transfer of nuclear materials and technology.
- The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA, 2007) monitors and enforces nuclear safeguards, but challenges in enforcement and access persist.





### **2025 ESTIMATED GLOBAL NUCLEAR WARHEAD INVENTORIES**

The world's nuclear-armed states possess a combined total of over 12,400 nuclear warheads; nearly 90% belong to Russia and the United States. Approximately 9,700 warheads are in military service, with the rest awaiting dismantlement.



Association

Source: Federation of American Scientists, U.S. Department of State, U.S. Department of Energy, U.S. Department of Defense, and the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute. Updated: January 2025

### **Objectives**

Analyze the weaknesses in the current global non-proliferation framework and enforcement mechanisms.

**Highlight key case studies** that illustrate past failures in preventing illicit nuclear trade.

**Propose practical solutions,** including enhanced cooperation, technology-driven tracking methods, and stronger regulatory measures to counteract nuclear smuggling for better global stability.



## The Current Challenges in Preventing Illicit Nuclear Trade

Treaty	Objective	Strengths	Limitations
Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) (1968)	Prevents the spread of nuclear weapons while promoting peaceful nuclear energy.	<ul> <li>Establishes a global framework for nuclear arms control.</li> <li>Provides a verification mechanism through the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lacks strict enforcement mechanisms.</li> <li>Countries can withdraw (e.g., North Korea, 2003).</li> <li>Non-state actors (terrorist groups, illicit networks) are not covered.</li> </ul>
Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons (TPNW) (2017)	Calls for the complete elimination of nuclear weapons, including their testing, stockpiling, and use.	<ul> <li>First legally binding international agreement to ban nuclear weapons entirely.</li> <li>Recognized by the UN General Assembly.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Major nuclear states (U.S., Russia, China, UK, France) have not signed or ratified the treaty.</li> <li>No enforcement mechanisms or penalties for violations.</li> </ul>
Strategic Arms Reduction Treaties (START I, II, and New START)	Limits and reduces the number of nuclear warheads and delivery systems between the <b>U.S. and Russia</b> .	<ul> <li>Helped significantly reduce nuclear stockpiles.</li> <li>Includes verification measures to monitor compliance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Focuses only on state actors (ignores illicit trade and non-state proliferation).</li> <li>New START's future is uncertain due to Russia</li> </ul>

suspending participation in 2023.

### **Key Loopholes in Enforcement and Verification:**

Issue	Details	Example
Lack of Enforcement Mechanisms	Most nuclear treaties rely on voluntary compliance without strict penalties. The IAEA lacks enforcement power, and the UN Security Council is often slow to act.	North Korea withdrew from the NPT in 2003, conducted nuclear tests, and faced only gradual sanctions.
Challenges in Monitoring Compliance	Nations resist intrusive inspections, and the IAEA's access to key sites is often limited or delayed.	Iran restricts access to nuclear facilities, complicating IAEA inspections.
Dual-Use Technologies	Technologies with both civilian and military uses are difficult to regulate, and smugglers exploit legal loopholes.	Uranium enrichment centrifuges and plutonium reprocessing can be used for both nuclear power and weapons production.

# **Case Studies**



#### The A.Q. Khan Network (Pakistan, 1970s-2000s)

- Abdul Qadeer Khan, a Pakistani scientist, illegally transferred nuclear technology to countries including North Korea, Iran, and Libya.
- Used clandestine smuggling networks, front companies, and black-market dealers to bypass restrictions.
- Impact:
  - Strengthened nuclear capabilities of rogue states.
  - Revealed major loopholes in global export controls and intelligence-sharing.
  - Led to stronger non-proliferation efforts but highlighted ongoing vulnerabilities.

Post-Soviet Nuclear Smuggling (Dadayan Case, 1990s–2000s)

- After the Soviet Union collapsed, many nuclear materials and weapons-grade uranium were left unguarded.
- In 2003, Armenian arms dealer Georgi
   Dadayan attempted to sell highly enriched uranium on the black market.
- Impact:
  - Showed the dangers of unsecured nuclear stockpiles.
  - Highlighted the need for better tracking and security of nuclear materials in unstable regions.

## Patterns & Key Lessons from These Cases

- Weak enforcement mechanisms allowed both cases to persist for years before being detected.
- Lack of **real-time intelligence-sharing** across borders helped traffickers evade capture.
- Nuclear-related materials were obtained through legal loopholes and dual-use technologies that were later diverted for illicit purposes.



### **Policy Recommendations**

1. Strengthening International Cooperation (IAEA 2007, p.40)

- Improving intelligence-sharing among nuclear states.
- Establishing unified enforcement efforts against illegal trade networks.

#### 2. Expanding the IAEA's Authority (IAEA 2007, p.85)

- Granting real-time inspection and audit capabilities.
- Enabling stronger sanctions for non-compliance.
- 3. Leveraging Technology for Tracking & Security
  - Blockchain for Nuclear Material Tracking: Creating tamper-proof records of movements.
  - Al and Cybersecurity Measures: Preventing cyber attacks on nuclear facilities.
- 4. Tightening Export Controls on Dual-Use Technologies (IAEA 2007, p.15)
  - Stricter licensing for sensitive materials to prevent illicit diversions.
  - Increasing oversight of high-risk transfers.

#### 5. Regional Anti-Trafficking Hubs

- Setting up specialized centers in high-risk regions.
- Enhancing training, surveillance, and intelligence-sharing.



# Thankyou

# Bibliography

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) (2007) Combating illicit trafficking in nuclear and other radioactive material. Nuclear Security Series No. 6. Vienna: IAEA.

Klare, M. T. (2023) 'Assessing the dangers: emerging military technologies and nuclear (in)stability', Arms Control Association Report.

MacCalman, M. (2016) 'A.Q. Khan nuclear smuggling network', *Journal of Strategic Security*, 9(1), Article 9.

Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons (2017) 'Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons'.

United States Department of State. (2010). *New START Treaty*. (8 April 2010). Available at:https://anteportas.pl/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/AP.IV\_Ursu.pdf.

Ursu, D. (2015) 'Illicit trafficking of nuclear and radioactive material in Eastern Europe', Ante Portas – Studia nad Bezpieczeństwem, 1(4).